Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: C, 59.97; H, 8.05; S, 16.01. Found: **C, 59.82;** H, **8.04; S, 16.04.** 

Ester 6: IR (neat)  $1725$  (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.15

*(8,* **3** H, SMe), **0.78-3.08** (m, **10 H,** ring H), **3.72** (s, **3** H, OMe). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: C, 59.97; H, 8.05; S, 16.01. Found: C, **59.94;** H, **8.04; S, 16.01.** 

**6-** ex0 - (Methylt hio)bicyclo[ 2.2.11 heptane-2-endo - carboxylic Acid (4a). A sample of endo ester 4b **(350** mg, **1.75**  mmol) was dissolved in **0.42** M potassium hydroxide solution in **95%** ethanol **(20 mL, 8.4** "01). The solution was stirred at room temperature for **24** h. At the end of this time the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the residue dissolved in water (5 mL). After extraction with ethyl ether, the aqueous phase was acidified with 3 M hydrochloric acid solution with ice-bath cooling. The mixture was filtered and the precipitate washed with cold water and dried to give a colorless solid, **243** mg, mp **124-126.5**  "C. This solid was recrystallized from ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to afford pure endo acid 4a **(201** mg, **62%):** mp **127-130**  OC; IR **(KBr) 3600-2200** (OH), **1675** (C=O) cm-'; 'H NMR (CDC13) d **2.12** (s, **3** H, SMe), **0.88-3.22** (m, **10** H, ring H), **10.15-10.75** (br s, **1** H, C02H).

Anal. Calcd for C&1402S: C, **58.03;** H, **7.58; S, 17.21.** Found: **C, 58.11;** H, **7.60; S, 17.15.** 

Methyl **6-exo-(Methylthio)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2-exo**carboxylate (5b). A solution of sodium methoxide was prepared by cautiously adding sodium metal  $(124 \text{ mg}, 5.40 \text{ mmol})$  to freshly dried, distilled methanol **(5** mL). A solution of endo ester 4b **(504**  mg, **2.52** mmol) in dried, distilled methanol **(6** mL) was added to the sodium methoxide solution. The solution was stirred and heated at reflux under a nitrogen atmosphere for **19** h. The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and the solvent removed by rotary evaporation under vacuum. To the residue was added ice cold **3** M hydrochloric acid solution **(2-3** mL) and the resulting mixture was twice extracted with ethyl ether. The combined extracts were washed successively with water and brine and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was distilled from bulb to bulb under oil-pump vacuum at bath temperature of **115** "C. The colorless, mobile liquid **(483** mg) so obtained was analyzed by GC and found to be a **27:73** mixture of 4b and 5b. There was no change in the composition of the material on an additional **15** h of exposure to sodium methoxide in methanol. Pure exo ester 5b was secured by preparative GC on a **0.25** in. x **5** ft Carbowax **20M** on Chromosorb W (DMCS treated) column at **220** "C: IR (neat) **1725 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 2.15 <b>(s, 3 H, SMe)**, 0.7–3.0 **(m**, **10** H, ring H), **3.53** (s, **3** H, OMe).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: C, 59.97; H, 8.05; S, 16.01. Found: C, **59.90;** H, **8.07; S, 15.95.** 

6-exo-( **Methylthio)bicyclo[2.2.l]heptane-2-exo-carboxylic**  Acid (5a). Exo ester 5b **(109** mg, **0.545** mmol) was dissolved in a **0.420** M solution of potassium hydroxide in **95%** ethanol (8 mL, **3.36** mmol). The solution was stirred at room temperature for **20** h. The solvent was then removed on a rotary evaporator. The residue was acidified with **3-4** mL of ice-cold **3** M hydrochloric concentrated to a liquid which was distilled from bulb to bulb under oil-pump pressure at a bath temperature of **155** "C. A colorless viscous liquid **(92.5** mg, **91%)** was so obtained which was greater than **99%** pure by GC analysis on a **0.25** in. **X 5** ft **10%**  SE-30 on Chromosorb W (DMCS treated) column at **220** "C: IR (CHCl,) **3680-2340** (OH), **1690** (C=O) cm-'; 'H NMR (CDCl,) <sup>6</sup>**2.17** (s, **3** H, SMe), **0.57-2.9** (m, **10** H, ring H), **11.0-11.4** (br s,  $1 H$ , CO<sub>2</sub>H).

Anal. Calcd for C9H140zS: C, **58.03;** H, **7.58; S, 17.21.** Found: C, **58.19;** H, **7.12; S, 17.25.** 

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge support of this work by the US. Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Grant No. HL 15104.

Registry **No.** 1, **38347-95-4;** 2a, **64887-93-0;** 2a benzylamide, **74036-64-9;** 2b, **64887-94-1;** 2c, **64887-95-2;** 2d, **74036-60-5;** 2e, **64887-96-3;** 2f, **74036-61-6;** 3a, **64937-41-3;** 3b, **64937-42-4;** 3c, **64937-43-5;** 3d, **74080-75-4;** 3e, **64937-44-6;** 3f, **74080-76-5;** 4a, **74080-77-6;** 4b, **74080-78-7;** 5a, **74080-79-8;** 5b, **74080-80-1; 6, 74036-62-7;** methyl iodide, **74-88-4;** 2-endo-bicyclo[2.2.1] heptanecarboxylic acid, **934-28-1; endo-6-(isopropylthio)bicyclo[2.2.1]** heptane-2-endo-carboxylic acid, **74036-63-8;** isopropyl bromide, **75-26-3;**  benzylamine, **100-46-9;** methanethiol, **74-93-1;** methyl bicyclo- [ **2.2.l]hept-5-ene-2-endo-carboxylate, 2903-75-5.** 

Supplementary Material Available: Stereoscopic view of the packing of the molecules in the unit cell of endo acid 2a and endo acid 4a; tables of additional crystal data, final atomic positional and thermal parameters, bond length, bond angle, and selected torsion angle data for endo acid 2a and endo acid 4a; listings of structure factor amplitudes for endo acid 2a and endo acid 4a; table comparing molecular structure of endo acid 2a and endo acid 4a determined by X-ray crystallographic techniques with the geometry calculated by molecular-mechanics method **(12**  pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

# **1,3-Dipolar Cycloadditions of Diazoalkanes with Thiete 1,l-Dioxide**

Pier G. De Benedetti,<sup>1a</sup> Carlo De Micheli,\*<sup>1b</sup> Remo Gandolfi,<sup>1c</sup> Pierluigi Gariboldi,<sup>1d</sup> and Augusto Rastelli\*<sup>1a</sup>

Institute *of* Physical Chemistry, 4IlOO-Modena, Italy, Institute *of* Pharmaceutical Chemistry, 42-Milano, Italy, Institute *of* Organic Chemistry, 10-Pauia, Italy, and Laboratory *of* Organic Chemistry, 50-Milano, Italy

Received January 21, 1980

Cycloadditions of diazoalkanes with thiete 1,l-dioxide have been reinvestigated; diazomethane, diazoethane, phenyldiazomethane, and 2-diazopropane yielded similar amounts of two regioisomers, whereas the reaction of diphenyldiazomethane was regiospecific and gave an adduct with regiochemistry opposite to that previously reported. Structures were assigned on the basis of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra. The mass spectra of the adducts are also briefly discussed. Results are rationalized on the basis of a simple model of perturbation theory.

### Introduction

Thiete 1,l-dioxide **(2)** is a reactive compound and owing to its planar structure is a suitable substrate for studying  $endo$  –  $exo$  selectivity in reactions with dienes<sup>2</sup> and regioselectivity in reactions with  $1,3$ -dipoles.<sup>3,4</sup> Nevertheless the 1,3-dipolarophilic reactivity of thiete 1,l-dioxide has been

0022-3263/80/1945-3646\$01.00/0 *0* **1980** American Chemical Society

<sup>(1) ,(</sup>a) Institute **of** Physical Chemistry. (b) Institute **of** Pharmaceutical Chemistry. (c) Institute of Organic Chemistry. (d) Laboratory of Organic Chemistry.

<sup>(2)</sup> Dittmer, D. C.; Christy, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 399. Paquette, L. A.; Phillips, T. R. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 3883. Dittmer, D. C.; Takashina, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 3809. Martin, H. D.; Iden, R.; Schiw

Table I. Yields and Isomer Distributions for Reactions of Diazoalkanes and Thiete 1,1-Dioxide<sup>a</sup>

R	$\overline{\phantom{1}}$ SO <sub>2</sub> CN <sub>2</sub> 2	R' mil- ether room temp.	$R'$ llit 50 <sub>2</sub> $^{\prime\prime\prime\prime}$ H	н timi H SO <sub>2</sub>		
compd	yield, %	$%$ of $3$	mp, °C	$%$ of 4	mp, °C	
1a, $R = R' = H$ 1b, $R = Me$ ; $R' = H$ 1c, $R = Ph$ ; $R' = H$ 1d, $R = R' = Me$ 1e, $R = R' = Ph$	70 73 76 87 90	35 52 70 27 0	$120 - 121^b$ $135 - 136^{b}$ $133 - 134$ <sup>p</sup> $170 \text{ dec}$ <sup>b</sup> $170 \text{ dec}^b$	65 48 30 73 100	$114 - 115c$ $110 - 111c$ $160 - 161^d$ $170 \text{ dec}^d$ $141 - 142$ <sup>b</sup>	

<sup>*a*</sup> Satisfactory analytical data (±0.3% for C, H, N) were reported for all new compounds listed in the table. <sup>*b*</sup> White needles from EtOH. <sup>*c*</sup> White prisms from EtOH. <sup>*d*</sup> White leaflets from EtOH.





<sup>a</sup> The spectra were analyzed as first-order spectra. <sup>b</sup>  $\delta$  4.50-5.20. <sup>c</sup>  $J_{\text{Me,H}} = 7.8 \text{ Hz}$ . <sup>d</sup>  $J_{1,4} = J_{4,5} = 2.5 \text{ Hz}$ . <sup>e</sup> In CDCl<sub>3</sub>;<br> $J_{1,4} = J_{4,5} = 2.7 \text{ Hz}$ . <sup>f</sup>  $J_{\text{Me,H}} = 7.3 \text{ Hz}$ . <sup>g</sup> In the range  $\delta$ 

explored only with nitrilimines<sup>3</sup> and diazoalkanes.<sup>4</sup>

In the course of research directed toward the synthesis of thiabicyclopentane derivatives, Dittmer and co-workers<sup>4</sup> isolated the sole adducts 3b, 3c, and 3e from the reactions of diazoethane, phenyldiazomethane, and diphenyldiazomethane. By contrast, in the reactions of diazomethane and 2-diazopropane the two regioisomers 4a and 4d were found to be the dominant adducts and minor amounts of 3a and 3d, respectively, were detected.

The inversion in regiochemistry on passing from diazomethane to diazoethane or from 2-diazopropane to diphenyldiazomethane seemed surprising to us as it is unexplicable on the basis of either electronic or steric effects.

An aim of the present work was to reinvestigate from a quantitative viewpoint the regiochemistry of the reactions of diazoalkanes with 2, which have previously been only qualitatively considered.

## Results

The reactions of 2 with excess diazoalkanes 1 were carried out in ether at room temperature. The isomer ratios were determined by column chromatographic separation and in the case of 1b and 1d secured by NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

The results reported in Table I confirm the previously assigned structures for compounds 3a-d, 4a, and 4d, while the structure of the adduct derived from the reaction of diphenyldiazomethane and 2 must be reassigned as 4e instead of 3e;<sup>4</sup> substantial amounts of regioisomers 4b and 4c were isolated for the first time. The structures were

Table III. <sup>13</sup>C NMR [ $\delta$  (Me<sub>2</sub>SO- $d_6$ )] Data for  $3a$ ,  $4a$ , and  $4e$ 

chemical shifts						
compd	$C-1$	$C-4$	$C-5$	$C-6$	$C-7$	
3a	73.7	79.7	72.9		69.6	
	(d)	(t)	(d)		(t)	
4а	120.8	84.9	17.9	72.9		
	(d)	(t)	(d)	$_{\rm (t)}$		
4e	121.3	104.1	27.1	69.0		
	(d)	(s)	(d)	(t)		

assigned on the basis of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (Table II and III). Adducts 4 show resonances at low field (in the range  $\delta$  6.98-7.75) which are absent in the spectra of adducts 3. We agree with Dittmer and co-workers in attributing this low-field signal to H-1 which in compounds 4 is strongly deshielded by the azo and sulfone groups. In 4e the resonance of H-1 is partly overlapped by the complex signal of the aromatic protons; in fact, on irradiation at  $\delta$  7.75, the line pattern of the complex signal (two protons) at  $\delta$  3.90-4.60 was simplified and, on irradiation of this latter signal, the H-1 resonance collapses to a singlet at  $\delta$  7.75.

Conclusive evidence for the correct attribution of structure 4e was represented by <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Table III). All carbon atoms of 3a resonate at a similar field ( $\delta$ 69.6–79.7), whereas in 4a and 4e C-1 is shifted to lower field  $(\delta$  120.8 and 121.3, respectively) and C-5 (without electron-withdrawing substituent) is in the aliphatic region ( $\delta$ 17.9 and 27.1, respectively) of the spectrum in full agreement with the assigned structures.

The trans relationship between H-4 and H-5 in 3c and 4c is supported by their low coupling constant  $(J_{4,5} \approx 3)$ Hz); for 3b and 4b the same relationship is proposed in

<sup>(4)</sup> Dittmer, D. C.; Glassman, R., J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 999.

**Table IV. Relative Abundance of Ions 5, 6, and** *7a* 

		$m/e$ (abundance, %)	
compd	5	6	
$3a^{b}$	54 (100)	53 (44)	41 (33)
4a	54 (90)	53 (82)	41 (100)
$3b^b$	68 (89)	67(100), 53(82)	55 (15)
4b	68 (25)	67 (64), 53 (77)	55 (100)
3c	130 (100)	129(51)	
4с	130 (100)	129 (81)	117 (70)
3d	82 (33)	67 (100)	69 (6)
4d	82 (22)	67 (46)	69 (100)
4e	206 (100)	129 (5)	

*<sup>a</sup>***The mas spectra were performed at an ionizing**  voltage of 20 eV. <sup>*b*</sup> Ionizing voltage 70 eV.

analogy. We were not able to detect in the crude reaction mixture the epimers  $(R = H, R' = Me$  or Ph; H-4 and H-5 cis) of **3c** and **4c** and **3b** and **4b** arising from the more crowded transition state with the substituent of the diazoalkane and the cyclobutene ring in a cisoid relationship. The two epimers were often isolated from the reaction of diazoalkanes with cyclobutenes. $5-7$ 

Table IV clearly shows that the mass spectral data should be used with caution in order to distinguish between regioisomers **3** and **4. As** a matter of fact compounds **3**  exhibit a sole important fragmentation path (see Scheme I) corresponding to the loss of sulfur dioxide plus nitrogen to give ions **5** (base peak for **3a** and **3c)** which thereafter lose methyl or hydrogen radical to give ions **6** (base peak for **3b** and **3d).** This fragmentation path is also always present in the mass spectra of compounds **4;** however, while it is a minor process in the electron impact induced fragmentation of **4a, 4b,** and **4d,** it becomes the major one for **4c** and the sole important fragmentation path for **4e.** In conclusion, the fragmentation pattern of compounds **4** is greatly influenced by substituents and while the presence of the intense ion **7** in the mass spectra does support structure **4** its absence cannot offer evidence for structure **3.** 

### **Discussion**

Cycloadditions of diazoalkanes are predominantly HOMO (1,3-dipole)-LUMO (dipolarophile) controlled as shown by the fact that they are accelerated only by electron-withdrawing substituents in the dipolarophile and electron-releasing substituents in the diazoalkane? This well-known aspect of diazoalkane reactivity has recently received further quantitative support by a very elegant and exhaustive kinetic investigation of Huisgen et al. who measured a large number of cycloaddition rate constants of diazomethane and aryl- and diaryldiazomethanes.<sup>9</sup> Moreover "ab initio" calculations of the hypersurface of the reaction diazomethane-ethylene<sup>10</sup> as well as MO semiempirical calculations<sup>11</sup> of the orbital energies of



Orbital coefficients and  $\pi$  net charges refer to the  $\pi$  system perpendicular to the molecular planes of the diazoalkanes.

<sup>b</sup> Frontier orbital, atomic units.

 $q_{\rm CX}$ 

 $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ 

 $\mathbf{z}_i$ 

 $c_{\rm CX}$ 

 $\vec{\epsilon}$ 

 $c_{\rm N}$ 

ъÒв

 $c_{\rm CX}$ 

 $\check{\mathbf{z}}_i$ 

 $\vec{z}$ 

ěç

 $N = N - X$ <br> $X =$ 

HOMO

charges)

total charges ( $\pi$ 

FO Energies, Coefficients, and Total and  $\pi$  Net Charges of Diazoalkanes (CNDO/2)<sup>a</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Table **LUMO** 

**<sup>(5)</sup> Bianchi, G.; De Micheli, C.; Gamba, A.; Gandolfi, R.; Rezzani, B.**  *J. Chem. SOC., Perkin Trans. 1* **1977, 2222.** 

<sup>(6)</sup> **Gandolfi, R.; De Micheli, C.** *Synthesis* **1978, 383.** 

<sup>(7)</sup> De Micheli, C.; Gandolfi, R., unpublished results.<br>
(8) Bianchi, G.; De Micheli, C.; Gandolfi R.; Patai, S. "The Chemistry<br>
of Functional Groups", Supplement A; Interscience: New York, 1977, p<br>
445, and the literature

**<sup>(9)</sup> Huisgen, R.; Geittner, J.** *Heterocycles* **1978,II, 105; Geittner, J.;** 

Huisgen, R.; Reissig, H. U. *Heterocycles* 1**978**, *11*, 109.<br>(10) Minato, T.; Yamabe, S.; Inagaki, S.; Fujimoto, H.; Fukui, K. *Bull.*<br>*Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1**974**, 47, 1619. Leroy, G.; Sana, M. *Tetrahedron* 1**975**,

**<sup>31, 2091.</sup>**  (11) (a) Houk, K. N.; Sims, J.; Duke, R. E., Jr.; Strozier, R. W.; George, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 7287. (b) Houk, K. N.; Sims, J.; Watts, Ch. R.; Luskus, L. J. Ibid. 1973, 95, 7287. (b) Houk, K. N.; Sims, J.; Wa *0.;* **Aspart-Ascot, A.** *Tetrahedron* **1974,** *30,* **3335.** 

Table VI. FO Energies, Coefficients, and Total and  $\pi$  Net Charges of Vinyl Sulfones (CNDO/2)<sup>a</sup>

	$RCH = CHSO, R'$		HOMO		LUMO			total charges ( $\pi$ charges)		
$\mathbf R$	$\mathbf{R}'$	FO <sup>b</sup>	$c_{\alpha}$	$c_{\beta}$	FO <sup>b</sup>	$c_{\alpha}$	$c_\beta$	$q_{\alpha}$	$q_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$	
	$-CH_2 - (syn)$									
н	Me $(anti)c$	$-0.455$ $-0.534$	0.097 0.422	0.132 0.476	0.099	0.667	0.710	$-0.063$ ( $-0.040$ )	$-0.036(0.032)$	
$\mathbf H$	Ph (anti)	$-0.496$ $-0.549$	0.180 0.486	0.223 0.512	0.083 0.100	0.153 0.648	$-0.172$ $-0.682$	$-0.077(-0.038)$	$-0.035(0.030)$	
Me	Me (anti)	$-0.451$ $-0.487$	0.143 0.568	0.160 0.445	0.084	0.626	$-0.661$	$-0.106(-0.113)$	0.034(0.072)	
$Ph_{90}^{\circ}$	Me (anti)	$-0.444$ $-0.458$ $-0.519$	0.265 0.216 0.488	0.206 0.101 0.438	0.096	0.628	$-0.704$	$-0.112(-0.125)$	0.024(0.082)	
$Ph_{45}°$	Me (anti)	$-0.434$ $-0.439$ $-0.532$	0.221 0.316 0.307	0.130 0.190 0.385	0.067 0.144	0.538 0.311	$-0.525$ $-0.442$	$-0.105(-0.122)$	0.021(0.079)	
Н	Me $(syn)^d$	$-0.555$ $-0.585$	0.319 0.484	0.418 0.446	0.067	0.688	$-0.692$	$-0.039(0.014)$	$-0.079(-0.021)$	
		$-0.452$ $-0.516$	0.111 0.594	0.114 0.539	0.053	0.670	$-0.652$	$-0.092(-0.035)$	$-0.025(0.021)$	

<sup>a</sup>  $c_{\alpha}$  and  $c_{\beta}$  are the coefficients of  $p_{\pi}$  atomic orbitals of the carbon atoms in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  positions with respect to the SO<sub>2</sub> substituent. <sup>b</sup> Frontier orbital, atomic units. <sup>c</sup> Anti conformation:  $p_{\$ 



 $a$  Structures 5-7 for the ions are tentatively attributed.

diazoalkanes and several dipolarophiles support this type of predominant frontier-orbital control. The low experimental ionization potentials (IP  $\leq$  9.03 eV) of diazoalkanes<sup>12a-c</sup> and the high IP values ( $\geq$ 11.10 eV) for the dipolarophiles in study<sup>12d,e</sup> offer a new strong argument in favor of HOMO (1,3-dipole)-LUMO (dipolarophile) control for the reactions under investigation. In full agreement with these findings are also the results of our CNDO/2 calculations on diazoalkanes and vinyl sulfones reported in Tables V and VI, even if, on account of the strong coupling between the ethylenic  $\pi$  bond and the sulfone group, in many cases two or more surface orbitals appear to be significant both on the grounds of orbital energies and coefficients. In Table VI the CNDO/2 results of thiete 1.1-dioxide are compared with those of a number of acyclic vinyl sulfones (cis when disubstituted) so as to test the effectiveness of the theoretical model toward discrimination between their different behaviors toward regioselectivity; in fact, these calculations are intended to be much more suited for rationalizing qualitative trends than for

Table VII. Experimental Results for Reactions of Diazomethane with  $\alpha, \beta$ -Unsaturated Sulfones<sup>1</sup>

	N <sub>N</sub> R	.SO <sub>2</sub> R' N <sub>N</sub>	R `SO <sub>2</sub> R'	
	8		9	
	substituent			relative percentage
R	R'	yield, %	8	9
н	Ph	87		100
Me	$\begin{array}{l} n\text{-}\mathrm{C}_{\scriptscriptstyle{12}}\mathrm{H}_{\scriptscriptstyle{25}}\\ \mathrm{Me} \end{array}$	86		100
Ph		86	69.8	30.2

matching single experimental results.

Together with the result (Table V) that carbon atoms in the HOMOs of diazoalkanes have higher coefficients than the nitrogen ends, inspection of Table VI reveals the following: (i) Methyl (or phenyl) vinyl sulfone and propenyl methyl sulfone, with larger coefficients on the  $\beta$  than  $\alpha$  position in their LUMOs, should add to diazoalkanes to form normal adducts 9 as the dominant adducts in agreement with experimental regiospecificity.<sup>13</sup>  $(ii)$ Conjugation in styryl methyl sulfone, evidenced in model calculations by comparing the results obtained with a molecular geometry where the phenyl  $\pi$  system is orthogonal to the ethylenic  $\pi$  systems (Ph<sub>90°</sub>) with those where some degree of  $\pi$  coupling is allowed ( $\text{Ph}_{45}$ ), appears to be responsible for the observed loss of regiospecificity<sup>13</sup> (Table VII), as it tends to produce comparable LUMO coefficients on the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  carbon atoms. (iii) A mixture of two regioisomers, with a slight preference for the reversed isomer 3, is also foreseen for thiete 1,1-dioxide; this finding substantially conforms to the results obtained with diazoalkanes 1a-d. The regiospecificity observed with diphenyldiazomethane  $(100\% \text{ of adduct } 4)$  could be due to the steric factors operating against adduct 3. (iv) By comparing the LUMO coefficients of syn-vinyl methyl sulfone and thiete 1,1-dioxide, one can suggest that the different regioselectivity observed for thiete 1,1-dioxide with respect to vinyl methyl sulfone and propenyl methyl sulfone can possibly be attributed to the presence, in the

<sup>(12)</sup> The experimental IP's are reported in the following references.<br>
(a) Diazomethane: Paulett, G. S.; Ettinger, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1963, 39, 825. (b) 2-Diazopropane: Heilbronner, E.; Martin, H. D. Chem. Ber. 1973, 106, 3376. (c) Phenyldiazomethane: Bastide, J.; Maier, J. P.; Chenyldiazomethane: Bastide, J.; Maier, J. P.; Kubota, T. J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 1976, 9, 307. (d) Phenyl vinyl sulfone: Sims, J.; Houk, K The photoelectron spectrum of thiete 1,1-dioxide is insufficiently resolved for definitive assignment; it presents three peaks at 10.79, 10.93, and 11.10 eV. This spectrum was recorded by Dr. F. P. Colonna, C.N.R. Centre, Ozzano E.—Bologna.

<sup>(13)</sup> Parham, W. E.; Blake, F. D.; Theissen, D. R. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 2415.

Table VIII. Interaction Energy (kcal/mol) of **HOMO** (Diazomethane) with All Unoccupied MO's of Vinyl Sulfones **for** Adducts **3(8)** and **4(9)** 

	$RCH=CHSO, R', R, R' =$						
	$CH2a$ (syn)	H, Me(syn)	H, Me (anti) H, Ph (anti)		Me, Me (anti)	Ph <sub>90</sub> ° Me (anti)	$Ph_{45^{\circ}}$ , Me (anti)
$\begin{array}{l}\n\Delta E_{3(8)} \\ \Delta E_{4(9)} \\ \Delta \Delta E\n\end{array}$	$-22.50$ $-22.62$ 0.12	$-22.76$ $-22.64$ $-0.12$	$-21.30$ $-21.66$ 0.36	$-21.92$ $-21.28$ 0.36	$-20.16$ $-20.82$ 0.66	$-19.88$ $-20.76$ 0.88	$-20.04$ $-20.74$ 0.70

a Similar results were obtained for the reactions of diazoalkanes **lb-e** with thiete 1,l-dioxide.

cyclic compound, of a blocked syn form, sterically unfavored<sup>14</sup> in the acyclic analogues.

additions of this dipolarophile.

# Experimental Section

NMR spectra were recorded at 36 °C for Me<sub>2</sub>SO- $d_6$  solutions with a Varian XL-100 (100 MHz for 'H and 25.18 MHz for 13C) spectrometer (Me4Si as internal standard); mass spectra were recorded with a Varian MAT 112 S spectrometer at an ionizing voltage of 20 eV, unless otherwise noted; microanalyses were performed with a Carlo Erba Analyzer Model 1106.

Thiete 1,1-dioxide was prepared according to Dittmer et al.<sup>19</sup> Reaction mixtures were analyzed by TLC on silica gel **GF2%** and the spots were detected by spraying with a 3% solution of chromic anhydride in sulfuric acid (50%) followed by charring at 120 °C.

Molecular Orbital Calculations. MO calculations have been of Modena by a modified version of the library program QCPE 161. A standard CNDO/2 parametrization was chosen;<sup>20</sup> experimental geometries<sup>14</sup> or standard bond lengths and bond angles have been used. The qualitative conclusions have been checked to be largely independent of any reasonable choice of parameters and geometries.

Reaction **of** Diazomethane (la) with Thiete 1,l-Dioxide **(2).** An ethereal solution of thiete 1,l-dioxide (2.1 g, 20 mmol) and a twofold excess of diazomethane was allowed to stand at room temperature until TLC analysis indicated the dipolarophile disappearance (3 or 4 days).

Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure at room temperature gave a residue which was at once column chromatographed on silica gel with ethyl acetate-cyclohexane (2:3) as eluant to afford, in order of elution, 0.71 g of 3a and 1.33 g of 4a. A similar workup was used for the other reactions; the adducts 3b, 3d, and 4c were characterized by a higher  $R_t$  value than 4b, 4d, and 3c, respectively.

The adducts were found to be stable under reaction and workup conditions. The ratios  $3b/4b$  and  $3d/4d$  were confirmed by NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, considering the integrals of the methyl groups. In the reaction of lb, the NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture revealed the presence (doublet at  $\delta$  1.30) of small amounts ( $\leq$ 5%) of an epimer of 3b or 4b.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. G. Galli and Dr. **M.**  Sisti for the mass spectra, Dr. F. P. Colonna, CNR Centre of Ozzano E., for the thiete 1,l-dioxide IP measurement and the C.N.R. (Rome) for financial support.

Registry **No.** la, 334-88-3; lb, 1117-96-0; **IC,** 766-91-6; Id, 2684- 60-8; le, 883-40-9; **2,** 7285-32-7; 3a, 73466-77-0; 3b, 73495-33-7; 3c, 73495-34-8; 3d, 73466-78-1; **4a,** 73466-79-2; 4b, 73466-80-5; 4c, 73466-81-6; 4d, 73466-82-7; 4e, 73466-83-8; methyl vinyl sulfone, 3680-02-2; **(ethenylsulfonyl)benzene,** 5535-48-8; (Z)-l-(methyl- sulfonyl)-1-propene, 40205-25-2; **(Z)-[2-(methylsulfonyl)ethenyl]**  benzene, 37630-43-6.

The stabilization energies due to the charge-transfer interactions of the HOMO (diazoalkanes) with all the vacant orbitals of vinyl sulfones have been calculated<sup>15</sup> to obtain a semiquantitative treatment and to overcome the difficulty of multiple orbitals in the frontier range. Table VI11 shows the interaction energies for the oriented complexes leading to adducts  $3$  (8) and  $4$  (9);<sup>16</sup> the energy differences  $\Delta \Delta E$  are much larger for acyclic vinyl sulfones than for thiete 1,l-dioxide and indicate a preference for regioisomer 9.<sup>17</sup> Here again syn-vinyl methyl sulfone should behave like thiete 1,l-dioxide. It is interesting to observe that conjugation in styryl vinyl sulfone ( $\hat{Ph}_{45^\circ}$ ) compared to  $Ph<sub>90°</sub>$ ) increases the stabilization energy of adduct **8** but, at variance with the frontier coefficients criterium, does not succeed in matching the experimental finding of a mixture of **8** and **9.** 

The above reported approach ignores the contributions of closed-shell repulsion (steric effect) and charge interactions (Coulombic effects). **A** quantitative treatment of these terms is beyond the aims of the present work; however, the small differences in charges (see Table V and VI) between the terminal atoms of diazoalkanes indicate that the Coulombic term has little effect on regioselectivity.

The reactions of thiete 1,l-dioxide with nitrile oxides and nitrones are under investigation in order to better understand the origin of regioselectivity in the cyclo-

(14) CND0/2 results show that the anti conformations **are** more stable than the syn ones for both vinyl and propenyl methyl sulfone. For conformational problems in vinyl sulfones **see:** Hargittai, I. Lect. Notes Chem. 1978, 6, 50. NDO/2 results show that the anti conformations are more stable<br>syn ones for both vinyl and propenyl methyl sulfone. For<br>tional problems in vinyl sulfones see: Hargittai, I. Lect. Notes<br>78, 6, 50.<br>astmann, R.; Wenning, E.;

(15) Sustmann, R.; Wenning, E.; Huisgen, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 877. Sustmann, R. Pure Appl. Chem. 1974,40, 569.

(16) The interaction energies were calculated with the equations

$$
\Delta E_{3(8)} = -2 \sum_{\text{unocc}}^{\text{dip}} (C_{\text{N}_1} C_{i,\beta} \beta_{\text{CN}} + C_{\text{CX}} C_{i,\alpha} \beta_{\text{CC}})^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{\text{HOMO}}}
$$

$$
\Delta E_{4(9)} = -2 \sum_{\text{unocc}}^{\text{dip}} (C_{\text{N}_1} C_{i,\alpha} \beta_{\text{CN}} + C_{\text{CX}} C_{i,\beta} \beta_{\text{CC}})^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{\text{HOMO}}}
$$

$$
\Delta \Delta E = \Delta E_{3(8)} - \Delta E_{4(9)}
$$

where  $\beta_{\rm CN}$  and  $\beta_{\rm CC}$  were given the values 2.14 and 2.63 eV, corresponding to a distance of 2.50 Å between the reacting centers.<sup>11b</sup> (17) The calculated values of  $\Delta\Delta E$ , although showing definitive trends

in **good** agreement with experimental **fiidmgs, are** too small for justifying regiospecificity. This appears to be a quite general shortcoming of the procedure (approximations and parameters); some authors<sup>18</sup> have pos-<br>tulated a proportionality between  $\Delta\Delta G^*$  and  $\Delta\Delta E$  and determined, a posteriori, the proportionality constants so **as** to bring the differences into the correct range **(>3** kcal/mol for regiospecificity).

<sup>(19)</sup> Nelson, T. R.; Babiarz, J. E.; Bartholomew, J. T.; Dittmer, D. C., submitted for publication in *Org. Synth*.

<sup>(20)</sup> Pfister-Guillonzo, G.; Gonbeau, D.; Deschamps, J. *J. Mol. Struct.*  1972, *14,* 81.

<sup>(18)</sup> Bastide, J.; El Ghandour, N.; Henri-Rousseau, 0. *Bull.* Soc. *Chim. Fr.* 1973, 2290.